

Who contributed and to which extent?

We are going to make a brief assessment of the percentage that each major contributor had in the process of the global warming.

The earth's temperature has been rising for several decades. That is a fact that we all agree on. Many people also agree that The Little Ice Age came to an end because the series of Middle Age volcanic activities had ceased in the first place. As its impact on global warming is a significant one, natural causes are given a contribution rate of 50%. The next high rating of 30% is given to the section "ocean uses". 20-25% is allocated to general ocean uses, as it happens day by day since the end of the Little Ice Age. Finally, only 5–10% is attributed to the naval war.

And where do we put the most important climate determinant? There is little one can do against the already established 'beliefs' of certain circles. This investigation gives CO₂ a marginal rank, with only 10%. This low rating derives particularly from the fact that the atmosphere is not the driving force for the warming mechanism but a mere appendix of the oceans. Furthermore, since its first report in 1988, IPCC has never offered more explanation: through consensus, they just reached the conclusion that there was a connection between the rising of the CO₂ level and the rising of the temperature level. This is hardly a convincing argument.

Other contributors and summary

One could possibly name many dozens of aspects and sources, alone or in combination with others that might contribute to warmer or colder regional and global air temperature. But none of them belongs to the "premiere league", as a major player. Not to be ignored, they are given a rating of 10 %.